

Analysis: First Issue, Stalking

Repeated following, watching, or harassing of a specific person

The incidents complained of include five instances over five weeks of RP being in the same location as CP. Specifically, [list replicated from above.] As a general matter, RP indicates that there was good reason for her to be in the locations that had nothing to do with CP's presence, essentially that the incidents were a result of coincidence rather than intent. (Cite to evidence.) The corroborative and contradictory evidence on this point is summarized as follows:

First incident, date, "Unexplained" presence in CP's dorm. In CP's dorm, RP indicated that she did have friends in the dorm who she had arranged to meet in a common area near CP's room. (cite to evidence) When asked to identify these friends, RP declined to do so. (cite to evidence) When asked the purpose of the meeting with these unidentified individuals, RP declined to provide detail. (cite to evidence) I asked RP to identify any witnesses, documents, or other evidence that could corroborate RP's in-dorm friendships, or presence on the complained-of date. (Cite to evidence.) She indicated she could provide those after the interview, and would go right back to her dorm to collect and send the information to me by e-mail. I did not receive anything that day. (Cite to evidence.) I followed up by e-mail asking her for the information on [date 1, date 2, date 3]. I never received a response to my inquiries. (Cite to evidence.)

Second incident, date, Encounter at Dining Hall. Dining Hall entrances and exits are monitored by video-camera. (Cite to evidence.) Video evidence from the day in question shows RP sitting on a bench in an area near Dining Hall's main entrance. (Cite to evidence.) CP approaches the entrance with her back toward RP, and does not appear to look in RP's direction. (Cite to evidence.) RP stands up as CP enters the hall, waits for approximately 25 seconds, then enters through the same door. (Cite to evidence.)